

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/023,455	OHNISHI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jan M. Ludlow	1743	

All Participants:

(1) Jan M. Ludlow.

(2) Tim Evans.

Status of Application: Finally Rejected

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 28 September 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

all

Claims discussed:

all

Prior art documents discussed:

all

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**JAN LUDLOW
PRIMARY EXAMINER**


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner indicated that the emendment filed 9/6/2005 would be entered, the amendment and arguments overcoming the rejections under 35 USC 112, first and second paragraph, but that the art rejections would be maintained and an advisory action forthcoming..